Study on Crystallization Kinetics and Thermal Properties of PCF-co-EF/PLA Blends
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Abstract: The goal of this study is to develop furan based bio-composites by blending poly(cyclohexylenedimethylene furandicarboxylate-co-ethylene furandicarboxylate) (PCF-co-EF) with poly(lactic acid) (PLA). Two types of PLA are used in this study and one is neat PLA mostly consisting of L-lactide (4032D) and the other is master batched PLA with various additives (3801X). The FE-SEM results showed sub-micron dispersion of the spherical domains in the blends, which indicates compatibility between PCF-co-EF and PLA. The thermal properties and non-isothermal crystallization kinetics shows that the PLA accelerates the rate of crystallization. Dynamic mechanical analysis showed compatibility of the blends and the improvement of ductility of the composites.

Keywords: poly(cyclohexylenedimethylene furandicarboxylate-co-ethylene furandicarboxylate), poly(lactic acid), polymer blend, crystallization kinetics, thermal properties.

Introduction

Recently, renewable resources have attracted attention due to growing concerns for environment and depletion of fossil-fuel resources. To keep a pace with social issues, plastic industries show their interests to replace traditional fossil-fuel based plastics with renewable resource based plastics. Among them, poly(ethylene furandicarboxylate) (PEF) has been considered as a suitable bio-alternative to replace the poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET).1 In contrast to PET including terephthalic acid (TA) derived from fossil-fuel resources, PEF includes 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) which was selected by U.S Department of Energy as one of the important bio-based building blocks to play an important role in the green chemical industry.2 PEF has lower gas permeability for oxygen (O2) and carbon dioxide (CO2) and easier processability related with its lower melting temperature (Tm) and higher glass transition temperature (Tg) than PET due to structural differences between FDCA and TA.3 Also, as it can be synthesized in a similar method to that of PET, it does not demand additional price when applying to PET manufacturing process. However, some drawbacks of PEF have been pointed out to its application. PEF shows brittle fracture behaviors that is caused by its rigid polymer chain and slower crystallization rate compared with that of PET. Moreover, its physical and thermal properties are still not enough to be commercialized, and its
price is also not yet to be comparable to other bio-based plastics.

Several researches have been proposed to solve drawbacks of PEF using long chain diol, such as propylene glycol, butylene glycol, and hexylene glycol. With the incorporation of the long chain segment in the polymer chain, each furan based polyesters has a relatively low glass transition temperature, which could be considered as a brittle-to-ductile transition even if exact explanations are not mentioned. But, the longer the chain segment is, the more initial decomposition is expedited. Also, their low $T_g$ according to chain length causes application limits in various area, and there is no effect on crystallization rate until C4 diol. Research on furan based polyesters is insufficient till now, moreover, researches on its copolymers or composites have been rarely tried.

Poly(lactic acid), (PLA), is an aliphatic polyester thermoplastic that is derived from biomass through bioconversion and polymerization. It has been viewed as one of the most promising materials because of its excellent biodegradability, thermal plasticity, and superior mechanical properties comparable to those of commercial biodegradable polymers. However, the applications of PLA as common plastics have been limited due to its drawbacks, such as the inherent brittleness and poor thermal stability. Thus, many attempts have been made to obtain PLA with improved properties, and various PLA composites have been commercialized.

The goal of this study is proposing a possibility of using new bio-composites based on furan based polyester as a promising alternative of fossil-based polyester. To solve some shortcomings of furan based-polyesters like slow crystallization rate, high cost and brittleness, PLA is melt blended with poly(cyclohexylenedimethylene furandicarboxylate-co-ethylene furandicarboxylate) (PCF-co-EF) which is a copolymer type of PEF. The reason for choosing PLA is its cost-effective performance compared with other commercial biodegradable polymers. Two types of PLA are used for blending; one is neat PLA mostly consisting of L-lactide and the other is master blended PLA with various additives. The neat PLA is selected for observing the compatibility and the property changes by PLA blending. Master blended PLA which has inorganic filler (talc) and additives is selected for observing the effects of inorganic filler as a crystallizer to accelerate the crystallization rate and the effects of additives as a plasticizer which is assumed to enhance the compatibility and ductility in PCF-co-EF/PLA blends system.

The phase morphology, crystallization characteristics, thermal stability and relaxation transition behaviors of the blends were evaluated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA).

**Experimental**

**Materials.** Poly(cyclohexylenedimethylene furandicarboxylate-co-ethylene furandicarboxylate) (PCF-co-EF) ($T_g$=80 °C, $T_m$=235 °C) was prepared by Lotte Chem Co., Korea. Two types of poly(lactic acid) were obtained from NatureWorks LLC, USA; one is 4032D grade (neat PLA with 98.5% L-isomer lactide, MI=3 g/min, $T_g$=62 °C, $T_m$=166 °C), and the other is 3801X grade (modified PLA with talc, plasticizer and toughening agent, MI=8 g/min, $T_g$=45 °C, $T_m$=164 °C). All polymers were supplied in pellet form and used as received. To prevent the polymers from degradation during thermal processing, two antioxidants were added. The SONGNOX® 2450 is a phenolic antioxidant that is used as 1st antioxidant, and SONGNOX® 1680 is a phosphoric antioxidant that is used as 2nd antioxidant. Both antioxidants were obtained from Songwon Industrial Chem. Co., Korea.

**Preparation of Blends.** All materials were dried at 60 °C for 24 hr prior to melt blending to minimize hydrolysis degradation, and the blends were prepared in a HAKKE Rheometer 600 p mixer with different PLA contents from 0 to 40 wt%. The processing conditions were kept constant with a processing temperature of 250 °C and a rotor speed of 60 rpm during 10 min.

**Analysis.** In order to prepare specimens for analyses, all samples were compression-molded into 1 mm sheets at 250 °C for 5 min under a pressure of 450 kgf/cm². In order to obtain amorphous samples, all specimens were immediately quenched in cold water after the 5 min. All samples were dried overnight at 35 °C under vacuum to remove residual water prior to measurement.

**Field Emission Scanning Electronic Microscopy.** Morphology of fracture surfaces were observed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) using a JSM-7500F (JEOL Ltd., Japan). All specimens were fractured in liquid nitrogen and sputtered with a platinum coating before observation.

**Differential Scanning Calorimetry.** Thermal properties of the blends were investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Perkin-Elmer Pyris Diamond) calibrated with...
Indium as a standard. All measurements were performed under N₂ atmosphere, and the sample weight was kept constant around 5.5 mg in all measurements. The measurement was carried out with two repeated cycles of a heating-cooling scan, and the first heating scan was ignored because it exhibited some noise due to the residual stresses from sample preparation. Samples were heated from 30 to 270 °C at 10 °C/min and held there for 5 min to eliminate residual crystals before cooling to 30 °C at 10 °C/min. Non-isothermal crystallization kinetics also investigated. Prior to investigating it, the equilibrium melting temperature ($T_m^0$) of neat PCF-co-EF was investigated using Hoffman-Weeks equation.\(^\text{14}\) Sample was heated from 30 to 270 °C at 10 °C/min and held there for 5 min before cooling to each crystallization temperature at maximum cooling rate. At each temperature, annealing lasted sufficiently, the samples were cooled down to 30 °C at a rate of 100 °C/min and then re-heated to 270 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min for the observation of the $T_m$. Non-isothermal crystallization kinetics were investigated based on Jeziorny method.\(^\text{15}\) Samples was heated from 30 to 270 °C at 10 °C/min and held there for 5 min. Subsequently, the samples were cooled to 30 °C at four different rates of 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 °C/min.

**Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA).** To investigate the thermal stability of the blends, a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA4000, Perkin Elmer) was conducted from 30 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C/min under N₂ atmosphere.

**Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA).** Thermal dynamic mechanical kinetics was analyzed on a DMA8000 (Perkin Elmer) to observe the relaxation temperature. The furnace was heated from -120 to 140 °C at 2 °C/min at a frequency of 1 Hz.

### Results and Discussion

**Phase Morphology of the Blends.** The morphological development and stability of multiphase polymer blends are complex functions of the interfacial characteristics, blend composition, and shear conditions.\(^\text{16}\) In this study, all the blends show a matrix-droplet morphology, where the minor component exists as domain components as shown in Figure 1. In the 4032D blends, many spherical domain components are clearly observed in overall composition. Although it is well-known that polymer blends are completely homogeneous on the scale below 100 nm, sub-micron dispersion of the spherical domains also indicates compatibility of the blends.\(^\text{17}\) On the other hand, a relatively smooth fracture surface can be observed in the 3801X blends. It is supposed that the various additives in the PLA 3801X which are unknown exactly act as plasticizer and reduce the interfacial tension between the polymers, resulting in finer dispersion on the matrix.

**Thermal Properties of the Blends.** Thermal Parameters of PCF-co-EF/PLA Blends: Figure 2 shows the DSC thermograms for 4032D blends, and these results are summarized in Table 1. The behavior of $T_g$ in the blends will be discussed in the dynamic mechanical analysis section since it is difficult to determine the $T_g$ from DSC results. PCF-co-EF exhibited the $T_g$ at 80 °C, $T_m$ at 141 °C, $T_m$ at 235 °C, and $T_m$ at 154 °C. Inherent slow crystallization rate of furan based polyesters is improved to some extent due to existence of cyclohexanedimethanol (CHDM) in main chain, but it is not yet to be suf-

---

*Figure 1. FE-SEM images of PCF-co-EF/PLA blends with different of PLA contents (scale bar=10 μm, ×5000): (a) PCF-co-EF/PLA(4032D) blends; (b) PCF-co-EF/PLA(3801X) blends.*
In contrast to 4032D blends, all the 3801X blends show two endo-thermal peaks around 230 °C. It means that PCF-co-EF formed perfect crystals under the influence of PLA 3801X. To investigate crystallization kinetics of the 3801X blends in more detail, further non-isothermal crystallization kinetics were investigated.

**Equilibrium Melting Temperature.** When analyzing crystallization kinetics, the samples are heated beyond the equilibrium melting temperature ($T_m^0$) to melt completely and erase thermal history. If row nuclei, such as expanded chain, are remained in a melt-state, it can affect the crystallization kinetics. In this study, $T_m^0$ was obtained using the Hoffman-Weeks equation as follow (1):

$$T_m = \frac{T_s}{2\beta} + T_m^0 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\beta} \right]$$

where $T_m^0$ is the equilibrium melting temperature and $\beta$ is a constant depending on lamella thickness. Figure 4 shows the endothermic peaks of PCF-co-EF isothermal crystallization at

![Figure 2. DSC thermograms of PCF-co-EF/PLA 4032D blends: (a) heating scan; (b) cooling scan.](image)

| Table 1. Thermal Transition Parameters of PCF-co-EF/PLA 4032D Blends |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| **DSC (10 °C/min)** | **Heating** | **Cooling** |
| | $T_g$ | $T_{cc}$ | $T_m$ | $T_{mc}$ |
| PLA 4032D | 61 | 130 | 168 | - |
| PCF-co-EF/4032D (90/10) | 53 | 78 | 110 | - | 150, 159 | 235 | 166 |
| PCF-co-EF/4032D (80/20) | 55 | 77 | 109 | - | 151, 160 | 234 | 170 |
| PCF-co-EF/4032D (70/30) | 56 | 78 | 106 | - | 152, 161 | 235 | 168 |
| PCF-co-EF/4032D (60/40) | - | 78 | 103 | - | 161 | 235 | 171 |
| PCF-co-EF | 80 | 141 | 235 | 154 |

Efficient for application in various areas. PLA 4032D exhibited the $T_g$ at 61 °C, $T_{cc}$ at 130 °C and $T_m$ at 168 ºC, and there is no observable peak on cooling scan. In the blends, the incorporation of the PLA resulted in no significant changes in $T_m$, but affected $T_c$. Crystallization of all blends was accelerated, but the amount of PLA had little effect on changes of $T_c$. All the blends have two endo-thermal peaks at 160 ºC, which are related with melting of PLA crystals; the lower endo-thermal peak is related to melting of PLA crystals developed during the DSC slow cooling scan and the higher endo-thermal peak is related to melting of PLA crystals developed by gradual melting and recrystallization during the DSC heating scan. It is assumed that PLA 4032D formed perfect crystals under the influence of PCF-co-EF. Figure 3 shows the DSC thermograms for the 3801X blends, and these results are summarized in Table 2. PLA 3801X exhibited $T_g$ at 52 ºC, $T_m$ at 142 and 152 ºC, and $T_{mc}$ at 98 ºC. In the blends, the incorporation of the PLA resulted in no significant changes in $T_m$ as similar with 4032D, but it has a remarkable influence on crystallization. Such results may be influenced by talc contained in the PLA. In contrast to 4032D blends, all the 3801X blends show two endo-thermal peaks around 230 °C. It means that PCF-co-EF formed perfect crystals under the influence of PLA 3801X. To investigate crystallization kinetics of the 3801X blends in more detail, further non-isothermal crystallization kinetics were investigated.
different $T_c$. Such peaks related to the melting of the crystals with different crystal perfection; the peak I, generally observed at about 10°C above $T_c$, is caused by the melting of crystallized portions in the amorphous phase, peak II is that of primary crystal formed at designated $T_c$, and peak III is that of recrystallized portion during DSC heating scan.\textsuperscript{22,23} In the PCF-co-EF, the peak II becomes clear with increasing $T_c$, which indicates that peak II is caused by melting of major crystal. According to the Hoffman-Weeks equation, $T_m^0$ can be calculated by the extrapolation of the $T_m$ (peak II) versus $T_c$ result-

---

**Table 2. Thermal Transition Parameters of PCF-co-EF/PLA 3801X Blends**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DSC (10°C/min)</th>
<th>Heating</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_g$</td>
<td>$T_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA 3801X</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (90/10)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (80/20)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (70/30)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (60/40)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3.** DSC thermograms of PCF-co-EF/PLA 3801X blends: (a) heating scan; (b) cooling scan.

**Figure 4.** Determination of equilibrium melting temperature of PCF-co-EF: (a) DSC heating thermograms of PCF-co-EF isothermal crystallized at specific crystallization temperature (heating rate=10°C/min); (b) Hoffman-Weeks plot based on peak II.
ing from the \( T_m = T_c \) curve as shown in Figure 4 (b). PCF-co-EF exhibited the \( T_m = 267 \) °C.

**Non-isothermal Crystallization Kinetics.** Avrami equation is commonly used to describe the crystallization kinetics of polymer. It has below form (2) and is generally transformed into double-logarithmic function (3) to calculate the Avrami exponent:

\[
X(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-Zt^n\right)
\]

\[
\log[-\ln(1-X(t))] = \log Z_t + n \log(t)
\]

where \( t \) is the crystallization time, \( X(t) \) is the relative crystallinity as a function of time \( t \), \( Z_t \) is the kinetic crystallization rate, and \( n \) is the Avrami exponent.\(^{26,25}\) But, because the Avrami equation is based on isothermal crystallization kinetics, several methods have been proposed to study the non-isothermal crystallization kinetics. Among them, Ozawa equation\(^{26}\) and Jeziorny equation\(^{15}\) have been widely considered. In this study, the Jeziorny equation was used to describe the non-isothermal crystallization of the blends. In a similar way with isothermal crystallization kinetics analysis, non-isothermal crystallization kinetics also can be analyzed by using the Avrami equation with a consideration of relationship between crystallization time \( t \) and temperature \( T \) (4):

\[
t = \frac{T_0 - T_c}{R}
\]

where \( T_c \) is the initial temperature when crystallization begins \((t=0)\) and \( R \) is the cooling rate. But, Jeziorny suggested that the value of kinetic crystallization rate \( (Z_t) \) should be corrected, considering the non-isothermal character during crystallization. He introduced the \( R \) to correct the kinetic crystallization rate \( (Z_c) \) as shown (5):

\[
\log Z_c = \frac{\log Z_t}{R}
\]

The curves of \( \log[-\ln(1-X(t))] \) versus log(\( t \)) at various cooling rates are depicted in Figure 5. It shows all the curves exhibit linear form with some deviations. It is not available for all crystallization areas because of sensitivity to error at both low and high degree of crystallization.\(^{27,28}\) Thus, in this study, the range of \(-2 < \log[-\ln(1-X(t))] < 0\) was considered to calculate the kinetic parameters. Half-time of crystallization (\( t_{1/2} \)) value was obtained when the \( X(t) \) is equal to 50%. In the PCF-co-EF, Avrami exponents \( n \) varied from 2.1 to 3.1 as shown in Table 3. It indicates that PCF-co-EF crystallization occurs with two- or three-dimensional growth type.\(^{22}\) In the blends, the \( n \) values are higher than that of the PCF-co-EF at the same cooling rate, which indicates that the PLA 3801X (maybe the talc
contained in PLA) acts as a nucleating agent for the blend matrix. They ranged from 3.0 to 4.7. Such results maybe due to the spherulite impingement and crowding, or the complicated nucleation types and growth form of spherulite. They also exhibited a higher $Z_c$ and $t_{1/2}$ than PCF-co-EF at the same cooling rate.

**Thermogravimetric Analysis.** Thermal stability of the blends was analyzed by comparing a temperature where the weight loss reaches 5 wt% ($T_{d,95\text{w t\%}}$) and at maximum decomposition rate ($T_{d,max}$). In Figure 6, there is distinct difference between thermal degradation behavior of PCF-co-EF and PLA4032D. PCF-co-EF exhibited the $T_{d,95\text{w t\%}}$ at 385°C and $T_{d,max}$ at 411°C, while PLA exhibited the $T_{d,95\text{w t\%}}$ at 334°C and $T_{d,max}$ at 371°C. Interestingly, while the $T_{d,95\text{w t\%}}$ decreased with incorporation of PLA in the blends, the $T_{d,max}$ increased as shown in Table 4. Although onset of degradation was accelerated due to the PLA in the blends, it delayed thermal degradation of PCF-co-EF. As for PLA 3801X, it starts thermal degradation at about 230°C while its $T_{d,max}$ was higher than that of 4032D grade as shown in Figure 7. The quick degradation of PLA 3801X maybe due to plasticizers contained in it. In contrast to 4032D blends, the 3801X blends show decrease in both $T_{d,95\text{w t\%}}$ and $T_{d,max}$ with incorporation of PLA as shown in Table 5.
Dynamic Mechanical Analysis. To investigate the relaxation transition of the blends, dynamic mechanical thermal behavior was investigated using DMA. Polyesters generally show two, or often three, relaxation transition below $T_m$; the highest relaxation transition ($\alpha$-relaxation) is the glass transition, which is due to the cooperative motion of several molecular segments (micro-Brownian chain motion), and the other lower relaxation transition ($\beta$-relaxation) is the sub-glass transition, which is due to the local rotational motions of the main chain. The $\tan \delta$ curve is commonly used to determine the relaxation transition temperature, but in fact, the value is different from that of storage modulus and loss modulus. It corresponds more closely to the transition at the midpoint of the decreasing of storage modulus curve.\textsuperscript{30} Considering this problem, the loss modulus was chosen to determine relaxation transition. Figure 8 shows the loss modulus of the 4032D blends below $T_m$ and their characteristic peaks are summarized in Table 6. PCF-co-EF exhibited the $T_g$ at 84 $^\circ$C, and PLA 4032D exhibited it at 59 $^\circ$C. In the blends, each $T_g$ was observed between that of neat polymers. Such results indicate that partial compatibility exists in the blends although there is no remarkable change in the $T_g$ as discussed in the morphology result.\textsuperscript{31} The other relaxation peak can be observed below 0 $^\circ$C. PCF-co-EF exhibited the $\beta$-relaxation at -74 $^\circ$C, and it increased with incorporation of the PLA. It is assumed that the PLA hinder the carbonyl motions of PCF-co-EF, and it may result in brittleness of the blends. These behaviors were also shown in the storage modulus as shown in Figure 10(a). With incorporation of the PLA, the storage modulus at RT increased and it means there was no effect of PLA 4032D on

Table 5. Decomposition Temperatures at 5% Weight Loss ($T_{d,95\text{wt\%}}$) and Maximum Rate ($T_{d,\text{max}}$) of PCF-co-EF/PLA(3801X) Blends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blends</th>
<th>$T_{d,95\text{wt%}}$(°C)</th>
<th>$T_{d,\text{max}}$(°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3801X blends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (90/10)</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (80/20)</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (70/30)</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/3801X (60/40)</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA 3801X</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Loss modulus of PCF-co-EF/PLA 4032D blends at below $T_m$: (a) glass transition temperature; (b) $\beta$-relaxation.

Table 6. Summarized Result of Dynamic Mechanical Thermal Analysis for PCF-co-EF/PLA(4032D) Blends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4032D Blends</th>
<th>$T_{d,95\text{wt%}}$(°C)</th>
<th>$T_g$(°C)</th>
<th>$T_\beta$(°C)</th>
<th>Storage modulus at 30 $^\circ$C (log $E$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/4032D (90/10)</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/4032D (80/20)</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/4032D (70/30)</td>
<td>-66</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCF-co-EF/4032D (60/40)</td>
<td>-66</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA 4032D</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improving inherent brittleness.

Figure 9 shows the loss modulus of the 3801X blends below $T_m$, and their characteristic peaks are summarized in Table 7. PLA 3801X exhibited the $T_g$ at 44 °C. In the blends, there was remarkable change in the $T_g$. They gradually moved toward each other, which means the 3801X blends also can be determined to be compatible as stated earlier. Also, PLA 3801X exhibited the $\beta$-relaxation at -89 °C, which is lower than that of PLA 4032D. It means that the more free chain motion of PLA 3801X results in a decrease of $\beta$-relaxation in the blends. Stor-
age modulus also decreased with incorporation of PLA 3801X as shown in Figure 10(b). Such results indicate that PLA 3801X is effective in improving brittleness.

Conclusions

PCF-co-EF, a new type of PEF copolymer, was melt blended with two types of PLA polymers; one is neat PLA (4032D) and the other is PLA with talc filler and some of additives (3801X). The FE-SEM results manifested that the PCF-co-EF is partially compatible with PLA. Especially PLA 3801X showed better compatibility than PLA 4032D. In thermal properties, both of the PLA polymers occurred \( T_g \) shift in the blends which is directly related to crystallization. In more details, non-isothermal crystallization kinetic analysis of the 3801X blends proved that the PLA 3801X accelerates the blends’ crystallization rate and the PCF-co-EF/3801X (60/40) blend, which had high PLA content, showed the fastest crystallization rate. Dynamic mechanical analysis showed the two relaxation temperature. The higher relaxation temperature (\( \beta \)-relaxation) confirmed the improvement of ductility of the 3801X blends. But, there is no effect on ductility for 4032D blends.

As a result, this study shows that PLA is an encouraging material for PCF-co-EF resins in considering the compatibility between PLA and PCF-co-EF. However, inorganic filler contained in PLA can enhance the properties such as crystallization rate and ductility. This results proves that inorganic filler like talc is an essential material for PCF-co-EF/PLA blends to overcome their drawbacks.

Even though furan-based polyesters needs more challenges to become commercialized, the results in this study demonstrated that PCF-co-EF/PLA blends can be a promising pioneer for a new trend bio-based polyester which will replace fossil-based polyesters.
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